

## **The internal relations of fuzzy situational map nodes**

**Áron Ballagi<sup>1</sup>, Claudiu Pozna<sup>2</sup> and László T. Kóczy<sup>1,3</sup>**

**<sup>1</sup>Department of Automation, Széchenyi István University,  
H-9026, Győr, Egyetem tér 1., Hungary  
Email: ballagi@sze.hu**

**<sup>2</sup>Department of Informatics, Széchenyi István University,  
H-9026, Győr, Egyetem tér 1., Hungary  
Email: cp@unitbv.ro**

**<sup>3</sup>Department of Telecommunications and Media Informatics,  
Budapest University of Technology and Economics,  
H-1117, Budapest, Magyar tudósok krt. 2., Hungary  
Email: koczy@tmit.bme.hu**

**Abstract:** Computational tasks involving intelligent agents often need to process complex structured information. The way of describing this information greatly influences the performance of the agent. Therefore, a big issue is how the complex data describing that valuable information is not lose while it can also be processed in tractable time. Fuzzy signatures and their multidimensional geometric extension, fuzzy situational maps, are used to describe such complex structured data. These problems are examined in the context of a cooperative mobile robot task and a new method is developed for the simplified describing and processing of the complex inner relations in fuzzy situational maps. This paper mainly deals with the fundamentals of this method.

**Keywords:** *fuzzy signature, fuzzy situational map, node relation.*

### **1. Introduction**

Computational tasks involving intelligent agents often need to process complex structured information. The way of describing this information greatly influences the performance of the agent. In certain cases, e.g. intelligent robot tasks, logistic processes, etc., the decision making would be made in real-time whit limited computational capacity. Therefore, a big issue is how the complex data describing that valuable information is not lose while it can also be processed in tractable time.

The second problem in an information system is the lack or distortion of data. The goal is that the system remain in the state of decision-making capability even if some data are missing.

Fuzzy signatures and their multidimensional geometric extension, fuzzy situational maps, are used to describe such complex structured data. We have developed a method for the simplified describing and processing of the complex inner relations in fuzzy situational maps. This paper mainly deals with the fundamentals of this method.

## 2. Fuzzy signature

The original definition of fuzzy sets [1] was  $A : X \rightarrow [0,1]$  , and was soon extended to *L-fuzzy sets* by Goguen [2].

This definition is  $A_L : X \rightarrow L$  ,  $L$  being an arbitrary algebraic lattice. A practical special case, *Vector Valued Fuzzy Sets* was introduced in [3], where  $A_{V,k} : X \rightarrow [0,1]^k$  , and the range of membership values was the lattice of  $k$ -dimensional vectors with components in the unit interval. A further generalization of this concept is the introduction of fuzzy signatures and signature sets, where each vector component is possibly another nested vector.

## 3. Fuzzy situational map

We propose a novel approach to support difficult decision-making and to depict situation or context dependent structured data. A special form of fuzzy signatures [4-7] with a spatial structure is used, namely the Fuzzy Situational Map (FSM).

Fuzzy situational maps as multidimensional extended fuzzy signatures (FS) are suitable to describe complex multidimensional system conditions in cases where the information is fragmented, distorted or noisy [8, 9].

The FSM can be two-, three- or even  $n$ -dimensional. Let us see the simplest case, two-dimensional fuzzy situational maps (Fig. 1).

### 3.1. Two-dimensional fuzzy situational map

Two-dimensional FSM may be considered as a geometric lattice, where each node has a fuzzy value or a whole fuzzy set, in extended case.

FSM can be represented as lattices or in matrix form as shown in Fig. 1 and in (1).

The values in the individual nodes can be interpreted as elements of a fuzzy signature, so fuzzy situational maps can be described as multidimensional spatially structured fuzzy signatures.

The individual nodes and the corresponding sub-lattices (high-resolution lattices) are related in the sense that the subgroups of sub-lattices jointly determine the features of the higher (parent) level. This structure, each node can store significant amount of additional information which processed only in the necessary resolution depth, can

greatly reduce the computational requirements. FSM can describe hierarchically structured multidimensional data in a more concise way than simple fuzzy signatures.

$$FSM = \begin{bmatrix} & & & \begin{bmatrix} x_{111} & & x_{112} \\ x_{121} & \begin{bmatrix} x_{211} & x_{212} & x_{213} \\ x_{221} & x_{222} & x_{223} \\ x_{231} & x_{232} & x_{233} \end{bmatrix} \\ & & \end{bmatrix} \\ x_{011} & x_{012} & x_{013} & \\ & & & \\ x_{021} & x_{022} & x_{023} & x_{024} \\ x_{031} & x_{032} & x_{033} & x_{034} \\ x_{041} & x_{042} & x_{043} & x_{044} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$
  

|          |  |          |          |     |     |          |
|----------|--|----------|----------|-----|-----|----------|
|          |  | $j$      |          |     |     |          |
|          |  | 1        | 2        | ... | $w$ |          |
| 1        |  | $x_{11}$ | $x_{12}$ | ... | ... | $x_{1w}$ |
| 2        |  | $x_{21}$ | $x_{22}$ | ... | ... | ...      |
| $\vdots$ |  | ...      | ...      | ... | ... | ...      |
| $i$      |  | ...      | ...      | ... | ... | ...      |
| $\vdots$ |  | ...      | ...      | ... | ... | ...      |
| $h$      |  | $x_{h1}$ | ...      | ... | ... | $x_{hw}$ |

$x_{ij} = [0, 1]$   
 $i = 1, \dots, h$   
 $j = 1, \dots, w$

Figure 1. Fuzzy situational map

#### 4. The internal relations of nodes in fuzzy situational maps

So far fuzzy situational maps were considered as extended multi-dimensional fuzzy signatures. The further advantage of fuzzy situational maps is not only to be able to sort out the external information in a hierarchical structured form, but to be able to take into account the effects of the individual nodes on each other, as well. This means each node is in relation with the adjacent nodes and its actual value, change this value, may have impact on their neighbor nodes. These effects can be directed or mutual (bidirectional) and may affect the whole situational map or only some sub-lattice of the map.

The description of the interrelation in fuzzy situational maps is built up on the theoretical foundations of fuzzy graphs [10, 11], taking into account these dependencies, mainly due to their mutuality, may be very complex and require high processing capacity.

To solve this problem, we worked out a method that creates appropriate, easy to compute fuzzy vectors and fuzzy signatures from the actual sub-lattice of the fuzzy situational map.

The inner relations of the fuzzy situational map nodes are described by modified fuzzy edge and vertex graphs.

Consider the simple two-dimensional fuzzy situational map  $A$ , which could be a reduced map as well. Each node in  $A$  has fuzzy membership value, these nodes are leaf-nodes. The nodes are denoted by  $x_{ij}$  simplified membership value notation in the lattice (Fig. 2), where  $i = 1, \dots, h$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, w$  are lattice point indexes.

Consider the connection possibilities of node  $x_{ij}$  to the adjacent nodes. Fig. 2 shows that the four possible edges of the actual node may have dissimilar membership values. The  $v_{ij}^{i-1,j}$  membership value means the *directed edge membership value* between nodes  $x_{ij}$  and  $x_{i-1,j}$ , where  $i = 2, \dots, h$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, w$ . The membership values of the other three edges can be given in the same way.




Figure 2. a) FSM edge membership value on a node, b) edge membership values between two nodes

Consider node  $x_{ij}$  as the root-node of the actual investigation. Suppose it is known that certain edges have 0 membership values, then the  $x_{ij}$  centered graph is obtained as Fig. 3 shows. For each node a similar graph can be got, which are sub-maps of the original fuzzy situational map. Thus, each node can be represented by its vertex membership

value  $x_{ij}$  and its edge membership vector  $\mathbf{e}_{ij}$  which is formed from the four edge membership values (2).

$$V_{ij} = (x_{ij}, \mathbf{e}_{ij}) \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{ij}^{i-1,j} \\ v_{ij}^{i,j+1} \\ v_{ij}^{i+1,j} \\ v_{ij}^{i,j-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, h; j = 1, \dots, w$$

From the connection graph, which has been created in this way, a descriptive structure, more precisely, an extended fuzzy signature can be clearly defined. Considering the connection graph in Fig. 3 the  $x_{ij}$  root-node can be described by the next fuzzy signature:




Figure 3. Connection graph on  $x_{ij}$  root-node

This signature uniquely describes the effect of the surrounding nodes to the actual root-node  $x_{ij}$  in a particular structure. The edge membership values  $v_{ij}$  play crucial role in formatting inner structure of the fuzzy situational map and in the mediation of the nodal effects.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper we described the inner relations of fuzzy situational map nodes, for which fuzzy vertex and edge graphs were used. The paths may be very complex on the graph. To avoid complicated calculations, we proposed a transformation method by which the situational map could be examined node by node. In a properly constructed graph, nodes are selected as the root step by step, and they respective dependency can be written by fuzzy signature which is processed by the already well-known signature operators.

Fuzzy signatures expressed in such a way are well usable in practice. This method has been successfully tried out in a mobile robot cooperative task for describing the complex environment.

## Acknowledgement

The research was supported by TÁMOP-4.2.2.A-11/1/KONV-2012-0012, Hungarian Scientific Research Fund Grant OTKA K108405 and OTKA K105529.

## References

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets," *Information and Control*, vol. 8, pp. 338-353, 1965.
- [2] J. A. Goguen, "L-fuzzy sets," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 18, pp. 145-174, 1967.
- [3] L. T. Kóczy, "Vectorial I-fuzzy Sets," in *Approximate Reasoning in Decision Analysis*, M. M. Gupta and E. Sanchez, Eds., ed Amsterdam: North Holland, 1982, pp. 151-156.
- [4] T. Vámos, G. Bíró, and L. T. Kóczy, "Fuzzy Signatures," in *SIC-EUROFUSE'99*, Budapest, 1999, pp. 210-217.
- [5] T. D. Gedeon, L. T. Kóczy, K. W. Wong, and P. Liu, "Effective Fuzzy Systems for Complex Structured Data," in *IASTED International Conference on Control and Applications (CA 2001)*, 2001, pp. 184-187.
- [6] T. Vámos, L. T. Kóczy, and G. Bíró, "Fuzzy Signatures in Data Mining," in *IFSA World Congress and 20th NAFIPS International Conference*, Vancouver, 2001, pp. 2842-2846.
- [7] K. W. Wong, A. Chong, T. D. Gedeon, L. T. Kóczy, and T. Vámos, "Hierarchical fuzzy signature structure for complex structured data," in *International Symposium on Computational Intelligence and Intelligent Informatics (ISCIII)*, Nabeul, Tunisia, 2003, pp. 105-109.
- [8] Á. Ballagi, L. T. Kóczy, and C. Pozna, "Effective Multi-Robot Cooperation without Explicit Communication," in *Fifth Győr Symposium and First Hungarian-Polish Joint Conference on Computational Intelligence*, Győr, Hungary, 2012, pp. 118-124.
- [9] Á. Ballagi, L. T. Kóczy, and C. Pozna, "Man-Machine Cooperation without explicit communication," in *World Automation Congress (WAC-ISSCI 2010)*, Kobe, Japan, 2010, pp. 1171-1176.
- [10] A. Rosenfeld, "Fuzzy Graphs," in *Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications to Cognitive and Decision Processes*, L. A. Zadeh, K. S. Fu, K. Tanaka, and M. Shimura, Eds., ed New York: Academic Press, 1975, pp. 77-95.
- [11] L. T. Kóczy, "Fuzzy graphs in the evaluation and optimization of networks," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 46, pp. 307-319, 1992.